

University of Dundee

## Asthma Step-Down Strategies

Lipworth, Brian; Israel, Elliot

*Published in:*

The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology: In Practice

*DOI:*

[10.1016/j.jaip.2017.08.026](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2017.08.026)

*Publication date:*

2018

*Licence:*

CC BY-NC-ND

*Document Version*

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication in Discovery Research Portal](#)

*Citation for published version (APA):*

Lipworth, B., & Israel, E. (2018). Asthma Step-Down Strategies: Perhaps the Patient Should Decide? *The Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology: In Practice*, 6(2), 644-645. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jaip.2017.08.026>

### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in Discovery Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from Discovery Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain.
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal.

### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Manuscript Number: INPRACTICE-D-17-00559

Title: Asthma step down strategies: Perhaps the patient should decide?

Article Type: Editorial

Section/Category:

Keywords: Asthma step down

Corresponding Author: Professor Brian Lipworth, MD

Corresponding Author's Institution: University of Dundee

First Author: Brian Lipworth, MD

Order of Authors: Brian Lipworth, MD; Elliot Israel, MD

Manuscript Region of Origin: UNITED KINGDOM

1 **Asthma step down strategies: Perhaps the patient should decide?**

2

3 Dr Brian Lipworth MD (1) and Dr Elliot Israel MD (2)

4

5 (1) Scottish Centre for Respiratory Research, Ninewells Hospital and Medical  
6 School, Dundee, Scotland, UK, b.j.lipworth@dundee.ac.uk

7 (2) Harvard Medical School, Pulmonary and Critical Care Division Brigham &  
8 Women's Hospital, Boston, USA, eisrael@bwh.harvard.edu

9

10 Word Count = 1008

11

12 Corresponding Author:

13 Brian Lipworth

14 Scottish Centre for Respiratory Research

15 Ninewells Hospital

16 University of Dundee

17 Phone: +44 1382 383188

18 Email: b.j.lipworth@dundee.ac.uk

There have been some concerns regarding the potential for long acting beta-agonist (LABA) to adversely affect asthma control, despite inhaled corticosteroid and long acting beta-agonist (ICS/LABA) combination therapy being a commonly used option at step 3 and above of asthma guidelines.<sup>1</sup> In vitro data have shown LABA to exhibit pro-inflammatory activity due to activation of beta-arrestin and extracellular signal regulated kinases via non-canonical G protein cyclic adenosine monophosphate (Gs-cAMP)-independent pathways.<sup>2</sup> Hence in vivo exposure to formoterol results in an inflammatory asthma phenotype in mice depleted of endogenous epinephrine.<sup>3</sup> Furthermore tachyphylaxis of response occurs rapidly to the canonical effects of LABA on airway smooth muscle and inflammatory cells due to down-regulation and uncoupling of Gs-cAMP.<sup>4</sup>

National and international guidelines advocate a process of assessment, stepwise adjustment of treatment, and review of response, to achieve the lowest effective maintenance therapy over the long term. Clinicians are always more inclined to step up therapy from ICS to ICS/LABA as opposed to performing the converse. For patients who are controlled on ICS/LABA combination, Global Initiative for Asthma (GINA) recommends continuing LABA while reducing concomitant ICS dose, while the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) suggests that LABA should be stopped while continuing with the same dose of ICS alone.

There are some data to suggest that patients well controlled on ICS/LABA may do less well if they stop their LABA. A meta-analysis of five trials revealed impaired asthma control and quality of life when stepping off LABA<sup>5</sup>, but did not clearly show an increase in exacerbations. The FDA mandated safety studies examining adding a LABA verses maintaining the same dose of ICS, observed that patients who had entered the trial who were initially maintained on ICS/LABA had an increased exacerbation risk when they were randomized to ICS alone as compared to ICS/LABA.<sup>6, 7</sup> However, there are no studies that can provide guidance regarding the conflicting recommendations as to whether patients well controlled on ICS/LABA should first have their ICS reduced or their LABA discontinued.

Against this background of uncertainty Rogers et al<sup>8</sup> randomized patients stable on medium-fixed dose ICS/LABA to receive reduced fixed- dose ICS/LABA, medium dose ICS alone ,or to continue the same medium dose combination treatment for 48 weeks . Using as a primary outcome a composite of control that included exacerbations, there were no significant differences in treatment failures between

any of the three strategies. However, LABA step off was associated with a 70ml mean decline in FEV1 compared with reducing ICS. There were five hospitalizations for asthma exacerbations all of which occurred after LABA step off, although systemic corticosteroid use did not differ between groups. Patients who were taking reduced dose ICS/LABA fared no worse on any outcomes compared to those taking a medium dose. A responder analysis for asthma control score (ACT) over 48 weeks was similar between groups when comparing the cumulative proportion of events with a score below 19 or with a decrease of at least 3.

While these data at first sight appear to be reassuring, it is important to understand that none of the groups did substantially worse than the other. This leads one to the possible interpretation that the patients in this study were being over-treated prior to enrollment and thus they were equally able to come off extra ICS or a possibly superfluous LABA. Perhaps these patients were already on more ICS than they needed? In an observational community study of ICS tapering it was possible to achieve a 37 % dose reduction without any deterioration in inflammatory markers in the majority of individuals in conjunction with a commensurate improvement in quality of life<sup>9</sup>. Thus, we are still unclear as to whether patients who truly required combination therapy will be better able to tolerate ICS reduction or LABA elimination.

With this caveat in mind, it might help to consider the Rogers study as more of a pragmatic study. It suggests, that in the context of current prescribing patterns, when faced with patients who are well controlled on moderate dose ICS/LABA, reducing ICS may be a reasonable first choice. However, in settings where prescribing may be more “parsimonious” these results may not hold. Further, it is important to point out that carrying this line of reasoning to the next step is not advisable. Namely, it is not reasonable to eliminate ICS in patients on low dose ICS/LABA. That strategy results in increased exacerbations and is therefore not recommended<sup>10</sup>.

Are there patients in whom one should favor one type of reduction versus the other? Adverse effects might guide the clinician. There was more hoarseness in the group maintained on moderate dose ICS in the Rogers study. Are there biomarkers that can assist with this decision? Several come to mind. Patients who possess one or two copies of the arginine allele at the 16<sup>th</sup> amino-acid position of the beta-2 receptor have been shown to develop worsening of airway hyperresponsiveness when treated with ICS and formoterol<sup>11</sup>. ICS naïve patients with elevated exhaled nitric oxide have been shown to develop loss of bronchoprotection with regular use of salmeterol

93 <sup>12</sup>. It is interesting to speculate whether these, or other, biomarkers could guide us in  
94 making the step-down decision.

95  
96  
97 The issue of overtreatment raised by the Rogers study, brings us to the issue of  
98 variable use of controller therapy. Single inhaler maintenance and reliever therapy  
99 (SMART) using combinations containing formoterol and ICS, has repeatedly been  
100 shown to be accompanied by improved overall control and lower overall ICS  
101 exposure compared to fixed dose ICS/LABA <sup>13</sup>. Similarly, ICS therapy guided by  
102 symptoms in mild asthma and by albuterol use in moderate asthma has been shown  
103 to be as effective as maintenance controller therapy<sup>14, 15</sup>. While the question of  
104 whether to adjust ICS or LABA may still be an issue with our current treatment  
105 paradigm, approaches that educate patients to “auto-adjust” controller therapy may  
106 obviate our need to consider whether to taper ICS or LABA. Perhaps in many cases  
107 it is best for the patient to do it for us.

# References

1. Lipworth B, Jabbal S. Debate on long-acting beta agonists for asthma: they think it's all over. *Lancet Respir Med* 2017; 5:e14-e5.
2. Drake MT, Violin JD, Whalen EJ, Wisler JW, Shenoy SK, Lefkowitz RJ. beta-arrestin-biased agonism at the beta2-adrenergic receptor. *J Biol Chem* 2008; 283:5669-76.
3. Thanawala VJ, Forkuo GS, Al-Sawalha N, Azzegagh Z, Nguyen LP, Eriksen JL, et al. beta2-Adrenoceptor agonists are required for development of the asthma phenotype in a murine model. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol* 2013; 48:220-9.
4. Lipworth B, Tan S, Devlin M, Aiken T, Baker R, Hendrick D. Effects of treatment with formoterol on bronchoprotection against methacholine. *American Journal of Medicine* 1998; 104:431-8.
5. Ahmad S, Kew KM, Normansell R. Stopping long-acting beta2-agonists (LABA) for adults with asthma well controlled by LABA and inhaled corticosteroids. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2015:CD011306.
6. Stempel DA, Szeffler SJ, Pedersen S, Zeiger RS, Yeakey AM, Lee LA, et al. Safety of Adding Salmeterol to Fluticasone Propionate in Children with Asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2016; 375:840-9.
7. Peters SP, Bleecker ER, Canonica GW, Park YB, Ramirez R, Hollis S, et al. Serious Asthma Events with Budesonide plus Formoterol vs. Budesonide Alone. *N Engl J Med* 2016; 375:850-60.
8. Rogers L, Sugar EA, Blake K, et al Step-Down Therapy for 1 Asthma Well-Controlled on Inhaled Corticosteroid and Long- Acting Beta-Agonist: A Randomized Clinical Trial. *J Allergy Clin Immunol In Practice* 2017
9. Clearie KL, Jackson CM, Fardon TC, Williamson PA, Vaidyanathan S, Burns P, et al. Supervised step-down of inhaled corticosteroids in the community--an observational study. *Respiratory Medicine* 2011; 105:558-65.
10. Lemanske RF, Jr., Sorkness CA, Mauger EA, Lazarus SC, Boushey HA, Fahy JV, et al. Inhaled corticosteroid reduction and elimination in patients with persistent asthma receiving salmeterol: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of the American Medical Association* 2001; 285:2594-603.
11. Lee DK, Currie GP, Hall IP, Lima JJ, Lipworth BJ. The arginine-16 beta2-adrenoceptor polymorphism predisposes to bronchoprotective subsensitivity in patients treated with formoterol and salmeterol. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 2004; 57:68-75.
12. Bonini M, Permaul P, Kulkarni T, Kazani S, Segal A, Sorkness CA, et al. Loss of salmeterol bronchoprotection against exercise in relation to ADRB2 Arg16Gly polymorphism and exhaled nitric oxide. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2013; 188:1407-12.
13. Papi A, Corradi M, Pigeon-Francisco C, Baronio R, Siergiejko Z, Petruzzelli S, et al. Beclometasone-formoterol as maintenance and reliever treatment in patients with asthma: a double-blind, randomised controlled trial. *Lancet Respir Med* 2013; 1:23-31.
14. Calhoun WJ, Ameredes BT, King TS, Icitovic N, Bleecker ER, Castro M, et al. Comparison of physician-, biomarker-, and symptom-based strategies for

158 adjustment of inhaled corticosteroid therapy in adults with asthma: the  
159 BASALT randomized controlled trial. JAMA 2012; 308:987-97.  
160 15. Boushey HA, Sorkness CA, King TS, Sullivan SD, Fahy JV, Lazarus SC, et al.  
161 Daily versus as-needed corticosteroids for mild persistent asthma. N Engl  
162 J Med 2005; 352:1519-28.  
163